



September 28, 2021

The Honorable Lloyd J. Austin III  
Secretary  
U.S. Department of Defense  
1400 Defense Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

Dear Mr. Secretary,

As you develop the fiscal year (FY) 2023 U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) budget request, the Coalition for National Security Research ([CNSR](https://cnsr4research.org)), representing the undersigned members of industry, academia, scientific and professional organizations, and non-profits, urges you to reverse recent trends of requesting cuts for defense basic research. Instead, we respectfully request funding for the defense science and technology (S&T) program equal to 3% of the overall DoD budget and funding for defense basic research at 20% of the defense S&T budget, per expert recommendations from public and private sector organizations as outlined in this letter.

The Biden-Harris Interim National Security Strategic Guidance states that the United States will double down on S&T investments and support cutting-edge technologies and capabilities that will advance our military and national security in the future<sup>1</sup>. Additionally, the *National Defense Strategy (NDS)* calls for establishing an unmatched twenty-first century national security innovation base and sustaining Joint Force military advantages<sup>2</sup>. In order to achieve these objectives, it is imperative that DoD make robust investments in defense S&T. As noted by the Defense Science Board (DSB), lower funding levels for defense S&T could threaten the dominance of the U.S. military<sup>3</sup>. Moreover, defense basic research attracts some of the most creative minds and supports training the next generation science and engineering workforce<sup>4</sup>, both important focus areas in the Interim National Security Strategic Guidance and the *NDS*.

Unfortunately, the FY 2021 and FY 2022 DoD budget requests called for cutting key areas of defense research and development essential to the United States military maintaining its global technological superiority. The FY 2021 budget called for cutting defense basic research and defense S&T below levels requested in the FY 2020 budget<sup>5</sup>, and the share of defense S&T and basic research funding continues to decline. In FY 2022, defense S&T funding accounts for just 2.1% of the DoD budget, and defense basic research funding is slashed to 15.5%.

The Biden-Harris Administration has committed to doubling down on the investments that will support cutting-edge technologies and capabilities. We urge you to include significant increases

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/03/03/interim-national-security-strategic-guidance/>

<sup>2</sup> <https://dod.defense.gov/Portals/1/Documents/pubs/2018-National-Defense-Strategy-Summary.pdf>

<sup>3</sup> <https://dsb.cto.mil/reports/1990s/DefenseScienceandTechnologyBaseforthe21stCentury.pdf>

<sup>4</sup> <https://dsb.cto.mil/reports/2010s/BasicResearch.pdf>

<sup>5</sup> <https://comptroller.defense.gov/Budget-Materials/>

for defense S&T and defense basic research consistent with the Administration's commitment. Increasing investments in defense S&T is essential to making revolutionary technological breakthroughs that will help safeguard our military and bolster national security into the future while also strengthening the defense industrial base workforce.

Going forward, we request that you, to the maximum extent possible, craft a FY 2023 budget that provides necessary funding for the defense S&T program to equal 3% of the DoD budget and defense basic research to comprise 20% of the S&T budget. The DSB<sup>6</sup>, National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence (NSCAI)<sup>7</sup>, National Academies<sup>8</sup>, Center for New American Security (CNAS)<sup>9</sup>, bipartisan House Armed Services Committee's Future of Defense Task Force report<sup>10</sup>, and Council on Competitiveness<sup>11</sup> all recommend that the defense S&T program equal 3% of the DoD budget in order to ensure U.S. military technological dominance. Additionally, the DSB<sup>12</sup>, National Academies<sup>13</sup> and Council on Competitiveness<sup>14</sup> recommend defense basic research comprise 20% of the defense S&T budget to support the high-payoff research needed to sustain long-term U.S. military supremacy. It is our hope that you keep these recommendations in mind as you make difficult decisions developing the FY 2023 DoD budget.

Thank you for consideration of our views. If we can be of any assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Aerospace Industries Association (AIA)  
American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)  
American Chemical Society (ACS)  
American Institute for Medical and Biological Engineering  
American Mathematical Society (AMS)  
American Political Science Association  
American Psychological Association (APA)  
American Society for Engineering Education  
Arizona State University  
ASME  
Association of American Universities (AAU)  
Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU)  
Battelle  
Boston University  
Brown University  
California Institute of Technology  
Carnegie Mellon University

Columbia University  
Computing Research Association  
Consortium for Ocean Leadership  
Consortium of Social Science Associations (COSSA)  
Cornell University  
Duke University  
Dupont  
Energetics, Inc.  
Federation of Associations in Behavioral & Brain Sciences (FABBS)  
Federation of Materials Societies  
Florida International University  
Florida State University  
George Mason University  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
Harvard University  
IEEE-USA  
Indiana University  
Lehigh University  
Louisiana State University

---

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a403874.pdf>

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.nscai.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Full-Report-Digital-1.pdf>

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/11463/rising-above-the-gathering-storm-energizing-and-employing-america-for>

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.cnas.org/publications/commentary/sharpening-the-u-s-militarys-edge-critical-steps-for-the-next-administration>

<sup>10</sup> <https://armedservices.house.gov/cache/files/2/6/26129500-d208-47ba-a9f7-25a8f82828b0/6D5C75605DE8DDF0013712923B4388D7.future-of-defense-task-force-report.pdf>

<sup>11</sup> <https://www.compete.org/reports/all/202>

<sup>12</sup> <https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a387244.pdf>

<sup>13</sup> <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/11463/rising-above-the-gathering-storm-energizing-and-employing-america-for>

<sup>14</sup> <https://www.compete.org/reports/all/202>

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Louisiana Tech University                                | University of California, Irvine           |
| Massachusetts Institute of Technology                    | University of California, Los Angeles      |
| Materials Research Society                               | University of California, Riverside        |
| Miami University of Ohio                                 | University of California, San Diego        |
| Michigan State University                                | University of Central Florida              |
| Michigan Technological University                        | University of Chicago                      |
| Montana State University                                 | University of Cincinnati                   |
| New Mexico State University                              | University of Colorado Boulder             |
| New York University                                      | University of Delaware                     |
| Northeastern University                                  | University of Florida                      |
| Northern Illinois University                             | University of Houston                      |
| Northwestern University                                  | University of Illinois System              |
| Oak Ridge Associated Universities                        | University of Iowa                         |
| Ohio State University                                    | University of Kansas                       |
| Oregon Health and Sciences University                    | University of Maryland at College Park     |
| Oregon State University                                  | University of Michigan                     |
| OSA-The Optical Society                                  | University of Missouri System              |
| Pace University  | University of Nebraska                     |
| Penn State University                                    | University of North Carolina – Chapel Hill |
| Princeton University                                     | University of North Carolina System        |
| Purdue University  | University of Oklahoma                     |
| Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute                         | University of Pennsylvania                 |
| Rochester Institute of Technology                        | University of Pittsburgh                   |
| Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey              | University of Rhode Island                 |
| Scripps Institution of Oceanography                      | University of Rochester                    |
| Semiconductor Industry Association                       | University of South Florida                |
| Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics           | University of Southern California          |
| SPIE, the international society for optics and photonics | University of Tennessee                    |
| SRI International  | University of Texas at San Antonio         |
| Stony Brook University                                   | University of Texas System                 |
| Temple University  | University of Vermont                      |
| Texas A&M University                                     | University of Virginia                     |
| The Catholic University of America                       | University of Washington                   |
| The George Washington University                         | University of Wisconsin - Madison          |
| The Johns Hopkins University                             | Vanderbilt University                      |
| The State University of New York                         | Washington State University                |
| University of Alaska                                     | West Virginia University                   |
| University of Arizona                                    | William & Mary                             |
| University of California System                          | Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution       |
| University of California, Davis                          | Yale University                            |

Cc: The Honorable Kathleen H. Hick, Deputy Secretary of Defense  
The Honorable Heidi Shyu, Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering  
The Honorable Shalanda Young, Acting Director of the Office of Management and Budget  
The Honorable Eric Lander, Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy